# Consultation on our approach to Performance Review

# Summary of questions and how to respond

## Question 1: Do you agree with our assumptions? Please provide reasons for your answer.

## Question 2: Do you agree with our proposed approach to periodic reviews? Are there areas that should be looked at as part of every periodic review. Please provide reasons for your answer.

## Question 3: Do you think the areas we will look at to form our evidence base are appropriate? Are there any other areas we should explore to enhance the evidence we collect? Please provide reasons for your answer.

## Question 4: Do you agree with our proposed approach to monitoring performance in the years between periodic reviews? Please provide reasons for your answer.

## Question 5: Do you think we have identified the right factors to consider when determining the length of review cycle? Please provide reasons for your answer.

## Question 6: Do you agree that a three-year cycle would be appropriate? Please provide reasons for your answer.

## Question 7: Do you agree that these are the right factors for us to consider when deciding the areas we look at during periodic reviews? Are there any that you would like to see added, removed or amended?

## Question 8: Do you agree that these are the right factors for us to consider when deciding to look in more depth at particular areas outside of periodic reviews? Are there any that you would like to see added, removed or amended?

## Question 9: Do you agree that the factors for bringing forward a regulator’s periodic review should be similar to those for undertaking reviews out of cycle? Do you think this is an appropriate threshold? Are there any that you would like to see added, removed or amended?

## Question 10: Do you agree that these are the right factors for determining the order for regulators in the cycle? Are there any that you would like to see added, removed or amended?

## Question 11: Please set out any impacts that the proposals set out in this paper would be likely to have on your organisation or considerations that we should take into account when assessing the impact of the proposals.

## Question 12: Are there any aspects of these proposals that you feel could result in differential treatment of, or impact on, groups or individuals based on the following characteristics as defined under the Equality Act 2010:

* **Age**
* **Disability**
* **Gender reassignment**
* **Marriage and civil partnership**
* **Pregnancy and maternity**
* **Race**
* **Religion or belief**
* **Sex**
* **Sexual orientation**
* **Other (please specify)**

**If yes to any of the above, please explain why and what could be done to change this.**

**How to respond**

## You can respond to this consultation paper by email: [PRConsultation@professionalstandards.org.uk](mailto:PRConsultation@professionalstandards.org.uk).

## Due to the pandemic, we strongly urge responses by email or through our survey. If this is not possible, our postal address is:

Professional Standards Authority

157-197 Buckingham Palace Road

London

SW1W 9SP

## If you have any queries, or require an accessible version of this document, please contact us on 020 7389 8030 or by email at [PRConsultation@professionalstandards.org.uk](mailto:PRConsultation@professionalstandards.org.uk).

## Please return your response to us by 21 December 2021.